

# Characterization on Mixed Generalized Quasi-Einstein Manifold

Sampa PAHAN<sup>1a\*</sup>, Buddhadev PAL<sup>2</sup>,  
Arindam BHATTACHARYYA<sup>1b</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, India*

<sup>a</sup>*e-mail: sampapahan25@gmail.com*

<sup>b</sup>*e-mail: bhattachar1968@yahoo.co.in*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University,  
Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh 221005, India.*

*e-mail: pal.buddha@gmail.com*

(Received February 23, 2016)

## Abstract

In the present paper we study characterizations of odd and even dimensional mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold. Next we prove that a mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold is a generalized quasi-Einstein manifold under a certain condition. Then we obtain three and four dimensional examples of mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold to ensure the existence of such manifold. Finally we establish the examples of warped product on mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold.

**Key words:** Einstein manifold, quasi-Einstein manifold, generalized quasi-Einstein manifold, mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold, super quasi-Einstein manifold, warped product.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 53C25

## 1 Introduction

A Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  with dimension  $(n \geq 2)$  is said to be an Einstein manifold if the Ricci tensor satisfies the condition  $S(X, Y) = \frac{r}{n}g(X, Y)$ , holds on  $M$ , here  $S$  and  $r$  denote the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of  $(M, g)$  respectively. According to [3] the above equation is called the Einstein metric condition. Einstein manifolds play an important role in Riemannian Geometry,

---

\*The first author is supported by UGC JRF of India, Ref. No: 23/06/2013(i)EU-V.

as well as in general theory of relativity. The notion of quasi-Einstein manifold was defined in [9]. A non-flat Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ ,  $(n \geq 2)$  is said to be an quasi Einstein manifold if the condition

$$S(X, Y) = \alpha g(X, Y) + \beta \rho(X)\rho(Y),$$

is fulfilled on  $M$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are scalars of which  $\beta \neq 0$  and  $\rho$  is non-zero 1-form such that  $g(X, \xi) = \rho(X)$  for all vector field  $X$  and  $\xi$  is a unit vector field.

Note that the subprojective manifolds by Kagan have the Ricci tensor with the same properties [14, 19].

In [8], U. C. De and G. C. Ghosh introduced generalized quasi-Einstein manifold, denoted by  $G(QE)_n$  where the Ricci tensor  $S$  of type  $(0, 2)$  which is not identically zero satisfies the condition

$$S(X, Y) = \alpha g(X, Y) + \beta A(X)A(Y) + \varrho B(X)B(Y), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \varrho$  are scalars such that  $\beta, \varrho$  are nonzero and  $A, B$  are two nonzero 1-forms such that

$$g(X, \xi_1) = A(X), \quad g(X, \xi_2) = B(X), \quad \forall X, \quad (1.2)$$

$\xi_1, \xi_2$  being unit vectors which are orthogonal, i.e.,  $g(\xi_1, \xi_2) = 0$ .

Here  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  are called the associated scalars, and  $A, B$  are called the associated main and auxiliary 1-forms respectively,  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  are main and auxiliary generators of the manifold.

In [6], M. C. Chaki introduced super quasi-Einstein manifold, denoted by  $S(QE)_n$  and gave an example of a 4-dimensional semi Riemannian super quasi-Einstein manifold, where the Ricci tensor  $S$  of type  $(0, 2)$  which is not identically zero satisfies the condition

$$S(X, Y) = \alpha g(X, Y) + \beta A(X)A(Y) + \gamma[A(X)B(Y) + A(Y)B(X)] + \delta D(X, Y), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are scalars such that  $\beta, \gamma, \delta$  are nonzero and  $A, B$  are two nonzero 1-forms such that  $g(X, \xi_1) = A(X)$  and  $g(X, \xi_2) = B(X)$ ,  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  being unit vectors which are orthogonal, i.e.,  $g(\xi_1, \xi_2) = 0$  and  $D$  is symmetric  $(0, 2)$  tensor with zero trace which satisfies the condition  $D(X, \xi_1) = 0, \forall X \in \chi(M)$ .

Here  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  are called the associated scalars, and  $A, B$  are called the associated main and auxiliary 1-forms respectively,  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  are main and auxiliary generators and  $D$  is called the associated tensor of the manifold.

In [4], A. Bhattacharyya and T. De introduced the notion of mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold, denoted by  $MG(QE)_n$ . A non-flat Riemannian manifold  $(M, g), (n \geq 3)$  is called if its the Ricci tensor  $S$  of type  $(0, 2)$  is not identically zero and satisfies the condition

$$S(X, Y) = \alpha g(X, Y) + \beta A(X)A(Y) + \varrho B(X)B(Y) + \gamma[A(X)B(Y) + A(Y)B(X)], \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \varrho, \gamma$  are scalars such that  $\beta, \varrho, \gamma, \delta$  are nonzero and  $A, B$  are two nonzero 1-forms such that

$$g(X, \xi_1) = A(X), \quad g(X, \xi_2) = B(X), \quad g(\xi_1, \xi_2) = 0, \quad \forall X, \quad (1.5)$$

$\xi_1, \xi_2$  being unit vectors which are orthogonal.

Here  $\alpha, \beta, \varrho, \gamma$  are called the associated scalars, and  $A, B$  are called the associated main and auxiliary 1-forms respectively,  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  are main and auxiliary generators of the manifold.

Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -dimensional,  $m \geq 3$ , Riemannian manifold and  $p \in M$ . Denote by  $K(\pi)$  or  $K(U \wedge V)$  the sectional curvature of  $M$  associated with a plane section  $\pi \subseteq T_p M$ , where  $\{U, V\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\pi$ . For a  $n$ -dimensional subspace  $L \subseteq T_p M$ ,  $2 \leq n \leq m$ , its scalar curvature  $\tau(L)$  is denoted by  $\tau(L) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} K(e_i \wedge e_j)$ , where  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  is any orthonormal basis of  $L$  ([9]).

The notion of warped product generalizes that of a surface of revolution. It was introduced in [5], for studying manifolds of negative curvature. Let  $(B, g_B), (F, g_F)$  be two Riemannian manifolds with  $\dim B = m > 0, \dim F = k > 0$  and  $f: B \rightarrow (0, \infty), f \in C^\infty(B)$ . The warped product  $M = B \times_f F$  is the Riemannian manifold  $B \times F$  furnished with the metric  $g_M = g_B + f^2 g_F$ .  $B$  is called the base of  $M, F$  is the fibre and the warped product is called a simply Riemannian product if  $f$  is a constant function. The function  $f$  is called the warping function of the warped product [15].

Singer and Thorpe gave the well-known characterization of 4-dimensional Einstein spaces in [20]. Later we have seen that in [7] Chen obtained the generalization of 4-dimensional Einstein spaces. In [10] the result for odd dimensional Einstein spaces was obtained by Dumitru. Also in [2] Bejan generalized these results (both odd and even dimensions) to quasi Einstein manifold. Also characterization of super quasi-Einstein manifold for both of odd and even dimensions was studied in [12]. From above studies, we have given characterization of mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold for both of odd and even dimensions with three and four dimensional examples of mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold to ensure the existence of such manifold. Next we obtain that a mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold is generalized quasi-Einstein manifold if either of generators is parallel vector field. In the last section we have given examples of warped product on mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold.

Geodesic mappings of Einstein spaces were studied in [18, 16, 11, 13, 19], and others. In [11, 17, 19] there are metrics of Einstein spaces.

## 2 Characterization of mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold

In this section we establish the characterization of odd and even dimensional  $MG(QE)_n$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *A Riemannian manifold of dimension  $(2n+1)$  with  $n \geq 2$  is mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold if and only if the Ricci operator  $Q$*

has eigen vector fields  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  such that at any point  $p \in M$ , there exist three real numbers  $a, b$  and  $c$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(P) + a &= \tau(P^\perp); & \xi_1, \xi_2 &\in T_p P^\perp, \\ \tau(N) + b &= \tau(N^\perp); & \xi_1 &\in T_p N, \xi_2 \in T_p N^\perp, \\ \tau(R) + c &= \tau(R^\perp); & \xi_1 &\in T_p R, \xi_2 \in T_p R^\perp, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $n$ -plane sections  $P, N$  and  $(n + 1)$ -plane section  $R$  where  $P^\perp, N^\perp$  and  $R^\perp$  denote the orthogonal complements of  $P, N$  and  $R$  in  $T_p M$  respectively and

$$a = \{\alpha + \beta + \varrho\}/2, \quad b = \{\alpha - \beta + \varrho\}/2, \quad c = \{\varrho - \alpha - \beta\}/2,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \varrho$  are scalars.

*Proof.* First suppose that  $M$  is a  $(2n + 1)$  dimensional mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold, so

$$\begin{aligned} S(X, Y) &= \alpha g(X, Y) + \beta A(X)A(Y) + \varrho B(X)B(Y) \\ &\quad + \gamma[A(X)B(Y) + A(Y)B(X)], \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \varrho, \gamma$  are scalars such that  $\beta, \varrho, \gamma$  are nonzero and  $A, B$  are two nonzero 1-forms such that  $g(X, \xi_1) = A(X)$  and  $g(X, \xi_2) = B(X), \forall X \in \chi(M), \xi_1, \xi_2$  being unit vectors which are orthogonal, i.e.,  $g(\xi_1, \xi_2) = 0$ .

Let  $P \subseteq T_p M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional plane orthogonal to  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  and let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  be orthonormal basis of it. Since  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  are orthogonal to  $P$ , we can take orthonormal basis  $\{e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{2n+1}\}$  of  $P^\perp$  such that  $e_{2n} = \xi_1$  and  $e_{2n+1} = \xi_2$ . Thus  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{2n+1}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $T_p M$ . Then we can take  $X = Y = e_i$  in (2.1), we have

$$S(e_i, e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} R(e_j, e_i, e_i, e_j) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 2n - 1 \\ \alpha + \beta, & \text{for } i = 2n \\ \alpha + \varrho, & \text{for } i = 2n + 1 \end{cases}$$

By use of (2.1) for any  $1 \leq i \leq 2n + 1$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} S(e_1, e_1) &= K(e_1 \wedge e_2) + K(e_1 \wedge e_3) + \dots + K(e_1 \wedge e_{2n-1}) + K(e_1 \wedge \xi_1) + K(e_1 \wedge \xi_2) = \alpha, \\ S(e_2, e_2) &= K(e_2 \wedge e_1) + K(e_2 \wedge e_3) + \dots + K(e_2 \wedge e_{2n-1}) + K(e_2 \wedge \xi_1) + K(e_2 \wedge \xi_2) = \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

.....

$$\begin{aligned} S(e_{2n-1}, e_{2n-1}) &= K(e_{2n-1} \wedge e_1) + K(e_{2n-1} \wedge e_2) + K(e_{2n-1} \wedge e_3) + \dots + K(e_{2n-1} \wedge \xi_2) = \alpha, \\ S(\xi_1, \xi_1) &= K(\xi_1 \wedge e_1) + K(\xi_1 \wedge e_2) + \dots + K(\xi_1 \wedge e_{2n-1}) + K(\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2) = \alpha + \beta, \\ S(\xi_2, \xi_2) &= K(\xi_2 \wedge e_1) + K(\xi_2 \wedge e_2) + \dots + K(\xi_2 \wedge e_{2n-1}) + K(\xi_2 \wedge \xi_1) = \alpha + \varrho. \end{aligned}$$

Adding first  $n$ -equations, we get

$$2\tau(P) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n < j \leq 2n+1} K(e_i \wedge e_j) = n\alpha. \tag{2.2}$$

Then adding the last  $(n + 1)$  equations, we have

$$2\tau(P^\perp) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n < i \leq 2n+1} K(e_i \wedge e_j) = (n + 1)\alpha + \beta + \varrho \tag{2.3}$$

Then, by subtracting the equation (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain

$$\tau(P^\perp) - \tau(P) = \{\alpha + \beta + \varrho\}.$$

Therefore  $\tau(P) + a = \tau(P^\perp)$ , where  $a = \{\alpha + \beta + \varrho\}/2$ . Similarly, Let  $N \subseteq T_pM$  be an  $n$ -dimensional plane orthogonal to  $\xi_2$  and let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  be orthonormal basis of it. Since  $\xi_2$  is orthogonal to  $N$ , we can take an orthonormal basis  $\{e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{2n+1}\}$  of  $N^\perp$  orthogonal to  $\xi_1$ , such that  $e_n = \xi_1$  and  $e_{2n+1} = \xi_2$ , respectively. Thus,  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{2n+1}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $T_pM$ . Then we can take  $X = Y = e_i$  in (2.1) to have

$$S(e_i, e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} R(e_j, e_i, e_i, e_j) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \\ \alpha + \beta, & i = n \\ \alpha, & n + 1 \leq i \leq 2n \\ \alpha + \varrho, & i = 2n + 1 \end{cases}$$

Adding first  $n$ -equations, we get

$$2\tau(N) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n < j \leq 2n+1} K(e_i \wedge e_j) = n\alpha + \beta, \tag{2.4}$$

and adding the last  $(n + 1)$  equations, we have

$$2\tau(N^\perp) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n < i \leq 2n+1} K(e_i \wedge e_j) = (n + 1)\alpha + \varrho. \tag{2.5}$$

Then, by subtracting the equation (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain

$$\tau(N^\perp) - \tau(N) = \{\alpha - \beta + \varrho\}/2.$$

Therefore  $\tau(N) + b = \tau(N^\perp)$ , where  $b = \{\alpha - \beta + \varrho\}/2$ . Analogously, Let  $R \subseteq T_pM$  be an  $(n + 1)$ -plane orthogonal to  $\xi_2$  and let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$  be orthonormal basis of it. Since  $\xi_2$  is orthogonal to  $R$ , we can take an orthonormal basis  $\{e_{n+2}, e_{n+3}, \dots, e_{2n}, e_{2n+1}\}$  of  $R^\perp$  orthogonal to  $\xi_1$ , such that  $e_{n+1} = \xi_1$  and  $e_{2n+1} = \xi_2$ . Thus,  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{2n+1}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $T_pM$ . Then we can take  $X = Y = e_i$  in (2.1) to have

$$S(e_i, e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} R(e_j, e_i, e_i, e_j) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & 1 \leq i \leq n \\ \alpha + \beta, & i = n + 1 \\ \alpha, & n + 2 \leq i \leq 2n \\ \alpha + \varrho, & i = 2n + 1 \end{cases}$$

Adding the first  $n + 1$ -equations, we get

$$2\tau(R) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n+1 < j \leq 2n+1} K(e_i \wedge e_j) = (n + 1)\alpha + \beta, \tag{2.6}$$

and adding the last  $n$  equations, we have

$$2\tau(R^\perp) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n+1 < i \leq 2n+1} K(e_i \wedge e_j) = n\alpha + \varrho. \tag{2.7}$$

Then, by subtracting the equation (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

$$\tau(R^\perp) - \tau(R) = \{\varrho - \alpha - \beta\}/2.$$

Therefore  $\tau(R) + c = \tau(R^\perp)$ , where  $c = \{\varrho - \alpha - \beta\}/2$ .

Conversely, let  $V$  be an arbitrary unit vector of  $T_pM$ , at  $p \in M$ , orthogonal to  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ . We take an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{2n+1}\}$  of  $T_pM$  such that  $V = e_1, e_{n+1} = \xi_1$  and  $e_{2n+1} = \xi_2$ . We consider  $n$ -plane section  $N$  and  $(n+1)$ -plane section  $R$  in  $T_pM$  as follows  $N = \text{span}\{e_2, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}\}$  and  $R = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}\}$  respectively. Then we have

$$N^\perp = \text{span}\{e_1, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{2n}, e_{2n+1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad R^\perp = \text{span}\{e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{2n}\}$$

respectively. Now

$$\begin{aligned} S(V, V) &= [K(e_1 \wedge e_2) + K(e_1 \wedge e_3) + \dots + K(e_1 \wedge e_{n+1})] \\ &\quad + [K(e_1 \wedge e_{n+2}) + \dots + K(e_1 \wedge e_{2n}) + K(e_1 \wedge e_{2n+1})] \\ &= [\tau(R) - \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n+1} K(e_i \wedge e_j)] + [\tau(N^\perp) - \sum_{n+2 \leq i < j \leq 2n+1} K(e_i \wedge e_j)] \\ &= \tau(R) - \tau(N) + \tau(R^\perp) - \tau(N^\perp) = [\tau(R) - \tau(N)] + [b + \tau(N) - c - \tau(R)] = b - c. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $S(V, V) = b - c$ , for any unit vector  $V \in T_pM$ , orthogonal to  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ . Then we can write for any  $1 \leq i \leq 2n + 1$ ,  $S(e_i, e_i) = b - c$ , since  $S(V, V) = (b - c)g(V, V)$ . It follows that

$$S(X, X) = (b - c)g(X, X) + K_1A(X)A(X)$$

and

$$S(Y, Y) = (b - c)g(Y, Y) + K_2B(Y)B(Y) + K_3[A(Y)B(Y) + B(Y)A(Y)]$$

for any  $X \in [\text{span}\{\xi_1\}]^\perp$  and  $Y \in [\text{span}\{\xi_2\}]^\perp$ , where  $A, B$  are the dual forms of  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  with respect to  $g$ , respectively and  $K_1, K_2, K_3$  are scalars, such that  $K_1 \neq 0, K_2 \neq 0, K_3 \neq 0$ .

Now from the above equations, we get from symmetry that  $S$  with tensors  $(b - c)g + K_1(A \otimes A)$  and  $(b - c) + K_2(B \otimes B) + K_3[(A \otimes B) + (A \otimes B)]$  must coincide on the complement of  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ , respectively, that is

$$\begin{aligned} S(X, Y) &= (b - c)g(X, Y) \\ &\quad + K_1A(X)A(Y) + K_2B(X)B(Y) + K_3[A(X)B(Y) + B(X)A(Y)], \end{aligned}$$

for any  $X, Y \in [\text{span}\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}]^\perp$ . Since  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  are eigenvector fields of  $Q$ , we also have  $S(X, \xi_1) = 0$  and  $S(Y, \xi_2) = 0$  for any  $X, Y \in T_pM$  orthogonal to  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ . Thus, we can extend the above equation to

$$S(X, Z) = (b - c)g(X, Z) + K_1A(X)A(Z) + K_2B(X)B(Z) + K_3[A(X)B(Z) + A(Z)B(X)], \quad (2.8)$$

for any  $X \in [\text{span}\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}]^\perp$  and  $Z \in T_pM$ , where  $K_1, K_2, K_3$  are scalars and  $K_1 \neq 0, K_2 \neq 0, K_3 \neq 0$ . Now, let us consider the  $n$ -plane section  $P$  and  $(n + 1)$ -plane section  $R$  in  $T_pM$  as follows  $P = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  and  $R = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, \xi_1\}$ . Then we have  $P^\perp = \text{span}\{\xi_1, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{2n+1}\}$  and  $R^\perp = \text{span}\{e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{2n}, e_{2n+1}\}$  respectively. Now

$$\begin{aligned} S(\xi_1, \xi_1) &= [K(\xi_1 \wedge e_1) + K(\xi_1 \wedge e_2) + \dots + K(\xi_1 \wedge e_n)] \\ &\quad + [K(\xi_1 \wedge e_{n+2}) + \dots + K(\xi_1 \wedge e_{2n}) + K(e_1 \wedge e_{2n+1})] \\ &= [\tau(R) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} K(e_i \wedge e_j)] + [\tau(P^\perp) - \sum_{n+2 \leq i < j \leq 2n+1} K(e_i \wedge e_j)] \\ &= \tau(R) - \tau(P) + \tau(P^\perp) - \tau(R^\perp) = [\tau(R) - \tau(P)] + [a + \tau(P) - c - \tau(R)] = a - c \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can write

$$S(\xi_1, \xi_1) = (b - c)g(\xi_1, \xi_1) + (a - b)A(\xi_1)A(\xi_1). \quad (2.9)$$

Analogously, let us consider the  $n$ -plane section  $P$  and  $N \in T_pM$  as follows  $P = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  and  $N = \text{span}\{e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{2n}\}$  respectively. Then we have  $P^\perp = \text{span}\{e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{2n}, \xi_2\}$  and  $N^\perp = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n, \xi_2\}$  respectively. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(\xi_2, \xi_2) &= [K(\xi_2 \wedge e_1) + K(\xi_2 \wedge e_2) + \dots + K(\xi_2 \wedge e_n)] \\ &\quad + [K(\xi_2 \wedge e_{n+1}) + K(\xi_2 \wedge e_{n+2}) + \dots + K(e_2 \wedge e_{2n})] \\ &= [\tau(N^\perp) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} K(e_i \wedge e_j)] + [\tau(P^\perp) - \sum_{n+1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} K(e_i \wedge e_j)] \\ &= \tau(N^\perp) - \tau(P) + \tau(P^\perp) - \tau(N) = [\tau(N) + b - \tau(P)] + [a + \tau(P) - \tau(N)] = a + b. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we get

$$S(\xi_2, \xi_2) = (b - c)g(\xi_2, \xi_2) + (a + c)B(\xi_2)B(\xi_2) + K_3[A(\xi_2)B(\xi_2) + A(\xi_2)B(\xi_2)]. \quad (2.10)$$

Now from (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) we can write the Ricci tensor by

$$S(X, Y) = \mu_1g(X, Y) + K_1A(X)A(Y) + K_2B(X)B(Y) + K_3[A(X)B(Y) + A(Y)B(X)], \quad (2.11)$$

for any  $X, Y \in T_pM$ . From (2.11) it follows that  $M$  is a mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold, where  $\mu_1, K_1, K_2, K_3$  are scalars and  $K_1 \neq 0, K_2 \neq 0, K_3 \neq 0$ . Hence the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *A Riemannian manifold of dimension  $2n$  with  $n \geq 2$  is mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold if and only if the Ricci operator  $Q$  has eigen vector fields  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  such that at any point  $p \in M$ , there exist three real numbers  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(P) + a &= \tau(P^\perp); & \xi_1, \xi_2 &\in T_p P^\perp, \\ \tau(N) + b &= \tau(N^\perp); & \xi_1 &\in T_p N, \xi_2 \in T_p N^\perp, \\ \tau(R) + c &= \tau(R^\perp); & \xi_1 &\in T_p R, \xi_2 \in T_p R^\perp,\end{aligned}$$

for any  $n$ -plane section  $P$ ,  $N$  and  $(n+1)$ -plane section  $R$  where  $P^\perp$ ,  $N^\perp$  and  $R^\perp$  denote the orthogonal complements of  $P$ ,  $N$  and  $R$  in  $T_p M$  respectively and

$$a = \{\beta + \varrho\}/2, \quad b = \{2\alpha - \beta + \varrho\}/2, \quad c = \{\varrho - \beta\}/2,$$

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\varrho$  are scalars.

*Proof.* Let  $P$  and  $R$  be  $n$ -plane sections and  $N$  be an  $(n-1)$ -plane section such that,  $P = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ ,  $R = \text{span}\{e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{2n}\}$  and  $N = \text{span}\{e_2, e_3, \dots, e_n\}$  respectively. Therefore the orthogonal complements of these sections can be written as  $P^\perp = \text{span}\{e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ ,  $R^\perp = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  and  $N^\perp = \text{span}\{e_1, e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ .

Then rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

### 3 $MG(QE)_n$ with the parallel vector field generators

**Theorem 3.1.** *A mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold is generalized quasi-Einstein manifold if either of generators is parallel vector field.*

*Proof.* By the definition of the Riemannian curvature tensor, if  $\xi_1$  is parallel vector field, then we find that

$$R(X, Y)\xi_1 = \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi_1 - \nabla_Y \nabla_X \xi_1 - \nabla_{[X, Y]}\xi_1 = 0,$$

and consequently we get

$$S(X, \xi_1) = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Again, put  $Y = \xi_1$  in the equation (1.2) and applying (1.3) and (1.4), we get

$$S(X, \xi_1) = (\alpha + \beta)g(X, \xi_1) + \gamma g(X, \xi_2).$$

So, if  $\xi_1$  is a parallel vector field, by (3.1), we get

$$(\alpha + \beta)g(X, \xi_1) + \gamma g(X, \xi_2) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Now, putting  $X = \xi_2$  in the equation (3.2) and using (1.3) we get  $\gamma = 0$ . So, if  $\xi_1$  is parallel vector field in a mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold, then the manifold is generalized quasi Einstein manifold.



Again, if  $\xi_2$  is parallel vector field, then  $R(X, Y)\xi_2 = 0$ . Contracting, we get

$$S(Y, \xi_2) = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Putting  $X = \xi_2$  in the equation (1.2) and applying (1.3), we get

$$S(Y, \xi_2) = (\alpha + \varrho)g(Y, \xi_2) + \gamma g(Y, \xi_1).$$

If,  $\xi_2$  is a parallel vector field, by (3.3), we get

$$(\alpha + \varrho)g(Y, \xi_2) + \gamma g(Y, \xi_1) = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Putting  $Y = \xi_1$  and using (3.4), (1.3), (1.4), we get  $\gamma = 0$ , i.e., the manifold is generalized quasi-Einstein manifold.  $\square$

### 4 Examples of 3-dimensional and 4-dimensional mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold

**Example 4.1.** Let us consider a Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $R^3$  by

$$ds^2 = g_{ij}dx^i dx^j = (x^3)^{4/3}[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2] + (dx^3)^2,$$

( $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ ) and  $x^3 \neq 0$ . Then the only non-vanishing components of Christoffel symbols, the curvature tensors and the Ricci tensors are

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{13}^1 &= \Gamma_{23}^2 = \frac{2}{3x^3}, & \Gamma_{11}^3 &= \Gamma_{22}^3 = -\frac{2}{3}(x^3)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ R_{1331} &= R_{2332} = -\frac{2}{9(x^3)^{\frac{2}{3}}}, & R_{1221} &= \frac{4}{9}(x^3)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ R_{11} &= R_{22} = \frac{2}{9(x^3)^{\frac{2}{3}}}, & R_{33} &= -\frac{4}{9(x^3)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the associated scalars  $\alpha, \beta, \varrho, \gamma$  as follows:

$$\alpha = -\frac{4}{9(x^3)^2}, \quad \beta = \frac{6(x^3)^{\frac{4}{3}}}{9}, \quad \varrho = \frac{12}{9(x^3)^2}, \quad \gamma = -\frac{6}{9(x^3)^{\frac{1}{3}}},$$

and the 1-forms

$$A_i(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x^3} & \text{for } i = 1, 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad B_i(x) = \begin{cases} (x^3)^{\frac{2}{3}} & \text{for } i = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

- (i)  $R_{11} = \alpha g_{11} + \beta A_1 A_1 + \varrho B_1 B_1 + \gamma[A_1 B_1 + A_1 B_1]$
- (ii)  $R_{22} = \alpha g_{22} + \beta A_2 A_2 + \varrho B_2 B_2 + \gamma[A_2 B_2 + A_2 B_2]$
- (iii)  $R_{33} = \alpha g_{33} + \beta A_3 A_3 + \varrho B_3 B_3 + \gamma[A_3 B_3 + A_3 B_3]$

Since all the cases other than (i)–(iii) are trivial, we can say that

$$R_{ij} = \alpha g_{ij} + \beta A_i A_j + \varrho B_i B_j + \gamma [A_i B_j + A_j B_i] \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Thus if  $(R^3, g)$  is a Riemannian manifold endowed with the metric given by

$$ds^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j = (x^3)^{4/3} [(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2] + (dx^3)^2,$$

$(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$  and  $x^3 \neq 0$ , then  $(R^3, g)$  is an  $MG(QE)_3$ .

Next we consider the Lorentzian metric  $g$  on  $R^3$  by

$$ds^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j = -(x^3)^{4/3} (dx^1)^2 + (x^3)^{4/3} (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2,$$

$(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$  and  $x^3 \neq 0$ .

Now, by similar way, after some construction of associated scalars and associated 1-forms, we can say that the manifold is a mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold. Therefore we get another example of  $MG(QE)_3$ .

**Example 4.2.**  $(R^3, g)$  is a Lorentzian manifold endowed with the metric given by

$$ds^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j = -(x^3)^{4/3} (dx^1)^2 + (x^3)^{4/3} (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2,$$

$(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$  and  $x^3 \neq 0$ , then  $(R^3, g)$  is an  $MG(QE)_3$ .

**Example 4.3.** Let us consider a Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $R^4$  by

$$ds^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j = (1 + 2p) [(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2 + (dx^4)^2]$$

$(i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$  and  $p = \frac{e^{x^1}}{k^2}$ ,  $k$  is constant, then the only non-vanishing components of Christoffel symbols, the curvature tensors and the Ricci tensors are

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{22}^1 = \Gamma_{33}^1 = \Gamma_{44}^1 &= -\frac{p}{1 + 2p}, & \Gamma_{11}^1 = \Gamma_{12}^2 = \Gamma_{13}^3 = \Gamma_{14}^4 &= \frac{p}{1 + 2p} \\ R_{1221} = R_{1331} = R_{1441} &= \frac{p}{1 + 2p}, & R_{2332} = R_{2442} = R_{3443} &= \frac{p^2}{1 + 2p} \\ R_{11} &= \frac{3p}{(1 + 2p)^2}, & R_{22} = R_{33} = R_{44} &= \frac{p}{(1 + 2p)} \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily seen that the scalar curvature  $r$  of the given manifold  $(R^4, g)$  is

$$r = \frac{6p(1 + p)}{(1 + 2p)^3},$$

which is non-vanishing and non-constant.

Let us consider the associated scalars  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  as follows:

$$\alpha = \frac{p}{(1 + 2p)^2}, \quad \beta = \frac{2p}{(1 + 2p)^3}, \quad \gamma = \frac{p}{(1 + 2p)^3}, \quad \delta = -\frac{p}{2(1 + 2p)^2},$$

and the 1-form

$$A_i(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1+2p} & \text{for } i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad B_i(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1+2p} & \text{for } i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

- (i)  $R_{11} = \alpha g_{11} + \beta A_1 A_1 + \gamma B_1 B_1 + \delta[A_1 B_1 + A_1 B_1]$
- (ii)  $R_{22} = \alpha g_{22} + \beta A_2 A_2 + \gamma B_2 B_2 + \delta[A_2 B_2 + A_2 B_2]$
- (iii)  $R_{33} = \alpha g_{33} + \beta A_3 A_3 + \gamma B_3 B_3 + \delta[A_3 B_3 + A_3 B_3]$
- (iv)  $R_{44} = \alpha g_{44} + \beta A_4 A_4 + \gamma B_4 B_4 + \delta[A_4 B_4 + A_4 B_4]$

Since all the cases other than (i)–(iv) are trivial, we can say that

$$R_{ij} = \alpha g_{ij} + \beta A_i A_j + \gamma B_i B_j + \delta[A_i B_j + A_j B_i], \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

So if  $(R^4, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold endowed with the metric given by

$$ds^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j = (1 + 2p)[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2 + (dx^4)^2]$$

$(i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$  and  $p = \frac{e^{x^1}}{k^2}$ ,  $k$  is constant, then  $(R^4, g)$  is a mixed generalized quasi Einstein manifold with non-zero and non-constant scalar curvature.

If we consider the Lorentzian metric  $g$  on  $R^3$  by

$$ds^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j = -(1 + 2p)(dx^1)^2 + (1 + 2p)[(dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2 + (dx^4)^2]$$

$(i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$  and  $p = \frac{e^{x^1}}{k^2}$ ,  $k$  is constant.

Now, by similar way after some construction of associated scalars and associated 1-forms, we can say that the manifold is a mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold. Therefore we get another example of  $MG(QE)_4$ .

**Example 4.4.** Let  $(R^4, g)$  be a Lorentzian manifold endowed with the metric given by

$$ds^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j = -(1 + 2p)(dx^1)^2 + (1 + 2p)[(dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2 + (dx^4)^2]$$

$(i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$  and  $p = \frac{e^{x^1}}{k^2}$ ,  $k$  is constant. Then  $(R^4, g)$  is an  $MG(QE)_4$  with non-zero and non-constant scalar curvature.

## 5 Examples of warped product on mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold

**Example 5.1.** Here we consider the Example 4.1, a 3-dimensional example of mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold. Let  $(R^3, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold endowed with the metric given by

$$ds^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j = (x^3)^{4/3}[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2] + (dx^3)^2,$$

where  $(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$  and  $x^3 \neq 0$ .

To define warped product on  $MG(QE)_3$ , we consider the warping function  $f: R \setminus 0 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  by  $f(x^3) = (x^3)^{\frac{2}{3}}$  and observe that  $f = (x^3)^{\frac{2}{3}} > 0$  is a smooth function. The line element defined on  $R \setminus \{0\} \times R^2$  which is of the form  $B \times_f F$ , where  $B = R \setminus \{0\}$  is the base and  $F = R^2$  is the fibre.

Therefore the metric  $ds_M^2$  can be expressed as  $ds_B^2 + f^2 ds_F^2$  i.e.,

$$ds^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j = (dx^3)^2 + \{(x^3)^{2/3}\}^2 [(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2],$$

which is the example of Riemannian warped product on  $MG(QE)_3$ .

**Example 5.2.** We consider the example 4.3, a 4-dimensional example of mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold. Let  $(R^4, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold endowed with the metric given by

$$ds^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j = (1 + 2p)[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2 + (dx^4)^2],$$

where  $(i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ ,  $p = \frac{e^{x^1}}{k^2}$ ,  $k$  is constant.

To define warped product on  $MG(QE)_4$ , we consider the warping function  $f: R^3 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  by  $f(x^1, x^2, x^3) = \sqrt{(1 + 2p)}$  and we observe that  $f > 0$  is a smooth function. The line element defined on  $R^3 \times R$  which is of the form  $B \times_f F$ , where  $B = R^3$  is the base and  $F = R$  is the fibre.

Therefore the metric  $ds_M^2$  can be expressed as  $ds_B^2 + f^2 ds_F^2$  i.e.,

$$ds^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j = (1 + 2p)[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2] + [\sqrt{(1 + 2p)}]^2 (dx^4)^2,$$

which is the example of Riemannian warped product on  $MG(QE)_4$ .

Finally we note that the similar metrics were obtained in [1].

**Acknowledgement.** The authors wish to express their sincere thanks and gratitude to the referee for valuable suggestions towards the improvement of the paper.

## References

- [1] Baishya, K. K., Peška, P.: *On the example of almost pseudo-Z-symmetric manifolds*. Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc., Fac. Rer. Nat., Math. **55**, 1 (2016), 5–40.
- [2] Bejan, C. L.: *Characterization of quasi Einstein manifolds*. An. Stiint. Univ. “Al. I. Cuza” Iasi Mat. (N.S.) **53**, suppl. 1 (2007), 67–72.
- [3] Besse, A. L.: *Einstein Manifolds*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [4] Bhattacharya, A., De, T.: *On mixed generalized quasi Einstein manifolds*. Differ. Geom. Dyn. Syst. **9** (2007), 40–46, (electronic).
- [5] Bishop, R. L., O’Neill, B.: *Geometry of slant Submanifolds*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (1969), 1–49.
- [6] Chaki, M. C.: *On super quasi-Einstein manifolds*. Publ. Math. Debrecen **64** (2004), 481–488.
- [7] Chen, B. Y.: *Some new obstructions to minimal and Lagrangian isometric immersions*. Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) **26** (2000), 105–127.

- [8] De, U. C., Ghosh, G. C.: *On generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds*. Kyungpook Math. J. **44** (2004), 607–615.
- [9] Deszcz, R., Glogowska, M., Holtos, M., Senturk, Z.: *On certain quasi-Einstein semisymmetric hypersurfaces*. Annl. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math **41** (1998), 151–164.
- [10] Dumitru, D.: *On Einstein spaces of odd dimension*. Bul. Transilv. Univ. Brasov Ser. B (N.S.) **14**, suppl. 49 (2007), 95–97.
- [11] Formella, S., Mikeš, J.: *Geodesic mappings of Einstein spaces*. Ann. Sci. Stetinenses **9** (1994), 31–40.
- [12] Halder, K., Pal, B., Bhattacharya, A., De, T.: *Characterization of super quasi Einstein manifolds*. An. Stiint. Univ. “Al. I. Cuza” Iasi Mat. (N.S.) **60**, 1 (2014), 99–108.
- [13] Hinterleitner, I., Mikeš, J.: *Geodesic mappings and Einstein spaces*. In: Geometric methods in physics, Trends in Mathematics, *Birkhäuser*, Basel, 2013, 331–335.
- [14] Kagan, V. F.: *Subprojective Spaces*. *Fizmatgiz*, Moscow, 1961.
- [15] B. O’Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity*. Pure Appl. Math., *Academic Press Inc.*, New York, 1983.
- [16] Mikeš, J.: *Geodesic mapping of affine-connected and Riemannian spaces*. J. Math. Sci. **78**, 3 (1996), 311–333.
- [17] Mikeš, J.: *Geodesic mappings of special Riemannian spaces*. In: Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai **46**, Topics in Diff. Geom. Debrecen (Hungary), 1984, Amsterdam, 1988, 793–813.
- [18] Mikeš, J.: *Geodesic mappings of Einstein spaces*. Math. Notes **28** (1981), 922–923.
- [19] Mikeš, J. et al.: *Differential geometry of special mappings*. *Palacky Univ. Press*, Olomouc, 2015.
- [20] Singer, I. M., Thorpe, J. A.: *The curvature of 4-dimensional Einstein spaces* In: Global Analysis (Papers in honor of K. Kodaira, *Princeton Univ. Press*, Princeton, 1969, 355–365.