

Basic Pseudorings^{*}

IVAN CHAJDA¹, MIROSLAV KOLARÍK²

¹ *Department of Algebra and Geometry, Faculty of Science, Palacký University
tř. 17. listopadu 12, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic
e-mail: chajda@inf.upol.cz*

² *Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Palacký University
tř. 17. listopadu 12, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic
e-mail: kolarik@inf.upol.cz*

(Received November 3, 2008)

Abstract

The concept of a basic pseudoring is introduced. It is shown that every orthomodular lattice can be converted into a basic pseudoring by using of the term operation called Sasaki projection. It is given a mutual relationship between basic algebras and basic pseudorings. There are characterized basic pseudorings which can be converted into orthomodular lattices.

Key words: Basic algebra, basic pseudoring, orthomodular lattice.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06D35, 06C15, 03G25

It is well-known that every Boolean algebra can be converted into a Boolean ring by using of the symmetrical difference, see e.g. [2]. Also conversely, every Boolean ring can be converted into a Boolean algebra. For orthomodular lattices (instead of Boolean algebras) a similar construction giving a ring-like structure called Boolean quasiring was settled in [6], [7] and generalized for bounded lattices with an antitone involution in [8] and [9]. The natural question is for which algebras used in non-classical logics a similar conversion into a ring-like structure is possible. Of course, Boolean algebras serve as axiomatization of the classical propositional logic and orthomodular lattices play a similar role in the logic of quantum mechanics, see e.g. [1], [7], [8], [9].

In this study we are concentrated in an algebraic counterpart of many-valued logics. This is usually considered to be an MV-algebra for many-valued Łukasiewicz logic. However, it was generalized for more wide class as the concept of basic algebra, see e.g. [3], [4] as sources.

^{*}Supported by the Research and Development Council of the Czech Government MSM 6 198 959 214.

Let us note that a certain ring-like structures corresponding to MV-algebras were investigated by the first author and H. Länger in [5] and analogously, it was done for pseudo MV-algebras by Y. Shang in [10]. We will involve a similar approach which, however, can be used both for MV-algebras and orthomodular lattices.

The concept of basic algebra was introduced in [3] as a common generalization of an MV-algebra and an orthomodular lattice. Recall that a *basic algebra* (see e.g. [3], [4]) is an algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A; \oplus, \neg, 0)$ of type $(2, 1, 0)$ satisfying the following identities

$$(BA1) \quad x \oplus 0 = x;$$

$$(BA2) \quad \neg\neg x = x \quad (\text{double negation});$$

$$(BA3) \quad \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y = \neg(\neg y \oplus x) \oplus x \quad (\text{Łukasiewicz axiom});$$

$$(BA4) \quad \neg(\neg(\neg(x \oplus y) \oplus y) \oplus z) \oplus (x \oplus z) = 1 \quad (\text{where } 1 := \neg 0).$$

Let us note that every basic algebra satisfies also the identities $1 \oplus x = 1 = x \oplus 1$, $0 \oplus x = x$, $x \oplus \neg x = \neg x \oplus x = 1$ (see e.g. [3]). In every basic algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A; \oplus, \neg, 0)$, the partial order can be defined by $x \leq y$ if and only if $\neg x \oplus y = 1$. The ordered set $(A; \leq)$ is a bounded lattice where $x \vee y = \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y$, $x \wedge y = \neg(\neg x \vee \neg y)$ and $1 = \neg 0$. Moreover, it satisfies $y \leq x \oplus y$ and the mapping $x \mapsto \neg x$ is antitone for every $x, y \in A$.

A basic algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A; \oplus, \neg, 0)$ is called *commutative* if it satisfies the identity $x \oplus y = y \oplus x$.

The concept of symmetrical difference can be introduced for basic algebras in a way similar to that of [6] for orthomodular lattices, however, an operation \oplus is considered instead of \vee in orthomodular lattice because \oplus expresses the logical connective disjunction in the corresponding logic.

Searching for an appropriate ring-like structure, we choose the following one from a number of possible ways.

Definition 1 By a *basic pseudoring* we mean an algebra $\mathcal{R} = (R; +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ of type $(2, 2, 0, 0)$ satisfying the identities

$$(R1) \quad 1 + 0 = 1;$$

$$(R2) \quad x \cdot 1 = x;$$

$$(R3) \quad 1 + (1 + x) = x;$$

$$(R4) \quad (1 + x \cdot (1 + y)) \cdot (1 + y) = (1 + y \cdot (1 + x)) \cdot (1 + x);$$

$$(R5) \quad 1 + (1 + (1 + (1 + ((1 + x) \cdot (1 + y)))) \cdot (1 + y)) \cdot (1 + z) \cdot ((1 + x) \cdot (1 + z)) = 1.$$

One can immediately mention that this concept differs from the concept of a Boolean quasiring or a generalized Boolean quasiring as defined in [7], [8], [9]. From this point it can be of interest that this ring-like structure can be also reached from every orthomodular structure. Of course, this conversion differs due to the fact that instead of a symmetrical difference (see [6]) the *Sasaki*

operation (alias *Sasaki projection*, see [1]) is used. Let us recall that by a Sasaki operation of an orthomodular lattice is meant a term operation

$$(x \vee y') \wedge y.$$

We are ready to state our first result.

Theorem 1 *Let $\mathcal{L} = (L; \vee, \wedge, ', 0, 1)$ be an orthomodular lattice. Define*

$$x \cdot y = (x \vee y') \wedge y \quad \text{and} \quad x + y = ((x' \cdot y)' \cdot (x \cdot y')')'.$$

Then $\mathcal{R}(L) = (R; +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ is a basic pseudoring satisfying the conditions

$$(a) \quad x \cdot x = x$$

$$(b) \quad x \cdot (1 + y) = 0 \Rightarrow 1 + (1 + ((1 + (1 + y) \cdot (1 + x)) \cdot (1 + x)) \cdot (1 + x)) \cdot (1 + x) = y.$$

Proof It is an immediate reflexion that

$$x \cdot x = (x \vee x') \wedge x = 1 \wedge x = x$$

proving (a).

Further, $1 \cdot x = (1 \vee x') \wedge x = x$ and $0 \cdot x = (0 \vee x') \wedge x = 0$. Hence,

$$1 + x = ((1' \cdot x)' \cdot (1 \cdot x')')' = (0' \cdot x'')' = (1 \cdot x)' = x'.$$

This yields $1 + 0 = 0' = 1$ proving (R1). Evidently,

$$x \cdot 1 = (x \vee 1') \wedge 1 = x$$

proving (R2) and $1 + (1 + x) = x'' = x$ proving (R3). For (R4) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + x \cdot (1 + y)) \cdot (1 + y) &= (x \cdot y')' \cdot y' = ((x \vee y) \wedge y')' \cdot y' \\ &= (((x \vee y) \wedge y')' \vee y) \wedge y' = ((x \vee y)' \vee y) \wedge y' = (x \vee y)' \end{aligned}$$

due to the orthomodular law since $(x \vee y)' \leq y'$. By symmetry we obtain (R4).

Since

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + (1 + ((1 + x) \cdot (1 + y))) \cdot (1 + y) &= 1 + ((x' \vee y) \wedge y')' \cdot y' \\ &= (((x \wedge y') \vee y) \wedge y')' = ((x' \vee y) \wedge y') \vee y = x' \vee y \end{aligned}$$

by the orthomodular law, for (R5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &1 + (1 + (1 + (1 + ((1 + x) \cdot (1 + y)))) \cdot (1 + y)) \cdot (1 + z)) \cdot ((1 + x) \cdot (1 + z)) \\ &= 1 + (1 + (x' \vee y) \cdot (1 + z)) \cdot ((1 + x) \cdot (1 + z)) \\ &= 1 + (1 + (x' \vee y) \cdot z') \cdot ((x' \vee z) \wedge z') \\ &= (((x' \vee y) \cdot z')' \cdot ((x' \vee z) \wedge z')')' \\ &= (((((x' \vee y) \vee z') \vee z) \vee ((x' \vee z) \wedge z')') \wedge ((x' \vee z) \wedge z'))' \\ &= (((x' \vee y) \vee z) \wedge z') \wedge ((x' \vee z) \wedge z') \vee ((x' \vee z) \wedge z')' \\ &= ((x' \vee z) \wedge z') \vee ((x' \vee z) \wedge z')' = 1. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove (b). Assume $x \cdot (1 + y) = 0$. Then $0 = x \cdot y' = (x \vee y) \wedge y'$ thus $x \vee y = y$ whence $x \leq y$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + (1 + ((1 + (1 + y) \cdot (1 + x)) \cdot (1 + x)) \cdot (1 + x)) \cdot (1 + x) \\ &= 1 + (1 + (y \wedge x') \cdot (1 + x)) \cdot (1 + x) = (y \wedge x') \vee x = y \end{aligned}$$

by the orthomodular law. \square

Now, we are going to describe a mutual relationship between basic pseudorings and basic algebras.

Theorem 2 *Let $\mathcal{R} = (R; +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ be a basic pseudoring. Define*

$$x \oplus y = 1 + (1 + x) \cdot (1 + y) \quad \text{and} \quad \neg x = 1 + x.$$

Then $\mathcal{A}(R) = (R; \oplus, \neg, 0)$ is a basic algebra.

Proof We will check the axioms of a basic algebra.

$$(BA1): \quad x \oplus 0 = 1 + (1 + x) \cdot (1 + 0) = 1 + (1 + x) \cdot 1 = 1 + (1 + x) = x;$$

$$(BA2): \quad \neg \neg x = 1 + (1 + x) = x;$$

$$\begin{aligned} (BA3): \quad & \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y = \\ &= 1 + (1 + (1 + (\neg x \oplus y))) \cdot (1 + y) = 1 + (\neg x \oplus y) \cdot (1 + y) \\ &= 1 + (1 + (1 + (1 + x)) \cdot (1 + y)) \cdot (1 + y) = 1 + (1 + x \cdot (1 + y)) \cdot (1 + y) \\ &= 1 + (1 + y \cdot (1 + x)) \cdot (1 + x) = 1 + (\neg y \oplus x) \cdot (1 + x) \\ &= \neg(\neg y \oplus x) \oplus x; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (BA4): \quad & \neg(\neg(\neg(x \oplus y) \oplus y) \oplus z) \oplus (x \oplus z) = \\ &= \neg(\neg((1 + (1 + ((1 + x) \cdot (1 + y)))) \cdot (1 + y)) \oplus z) \oplus (1 + (1 + x) \cdot (1 + z)) \\ &= (1 + (1 + ((1 + x) \cdot (1 + y)))) \cdot (1 + y) \cdot (1 + z) \oplus (1 + (1 + x) \cdot (1 + z)) \\ &= 1 + (1 + (1 + (1 + ((1 + x) \cdot (1 + y)))) \cdot (1 + y)) \cdot (1 + z) \cdot ((1 + x) \cdot (1 + z)) \\ &= 1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We can prove the converse.

Theorem 3 *Let $\mathcal{A} = (A; \oplus, \neg, 0)$ be a basic algebra. Define*

$$x + y = \neg(x \oplus \neg y) \oplus \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \quad \text{and} \quad x \cdot y = \neg(\neg x \oplus \neg y) \quad \text{and} \quad 1 = \neg 0.$$

Then $\mathcal{R}(A) = (A; +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ is a basic pseudoring satisfying the correspondence identity

$$1 + (1 + (1 + x) \cdot y) \cdot (1 + x \cdot (1 + y)) = x + y. \quad (CI)$$

Proof First we mention that

$$1 + x = \neg(1 \oplus \neg x) \oplus \neg(0 \oplus x) = \neg 1 \oplus \neg x = 0 \oplus \neg x = \neg x.$$

Now we check the axioms of a basic pseudoring.

$$(R1): \quad 1 + 0 = \neg(1 \oplus \neg 0) \oplus \neg(\neg 1 \oplus 0) = \neg 1 \oplus \neg 0 = 1;$$

$$(R2): \quad x \cdot 1 = \neg(\neg x \oplus \neg 1) = \neg(\neg x \oplus 0) = \neg \neg x = x;$$

$$(R3): 1 + (1 + x) = \neg\neg x = x;$$

$$(R4): (1 + x \cdot (1 + y)) \cdot (1 + y) \\ = (\neg(x \cdot \neg y)) \cdot \neg y = (\neg x \oplus y) \cdot \neg y = \neg(\neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y) = \neg(\neg(\neg y \oplus x) \oplus x) \\ = (1 + y \cdot (1 + x)) \cdot (1 + x);$$

$$(R5): 1 + (1 + (1 + (1 + ((1 + x) \cdot (1 + y)))) \cdot (1 + y)) \cdot (1 + z) \cdot ((1 + x) \cdot (1 + z)) \\ = 1 + (1 + (1 + (x \oplus y) \cdot \neg y) \cdot \neg z) \cdot (\neg x \cdot \neg z) \\ = 1 + (1 + (\neg((x \oplus y) \cdot \neg y)) \cdot \neg z) \cdot \neg(x \oplus z) \\ = 1 + (1 + (\neg(x \oplus y) \oplus y) \cdot \neg z) \cdot \neg(x \oplus z) \\ = 1 + (\neg(\neg(x \oplus y) \oplus y) \oplus z) \cdot \neg(x \oplus z) \\ = 1 + \neg(\neg(\neg(x \oplus y) \oplus y) \oplus z) \oplus (x \oplus z) \\ = \neg(\neg(\neg(x \oplus y) \oplus y) \oplus z) \oplus (x \oplus z) \\ = 1.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{R}(A) = (A; +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ is a basic pseudoring. It remains to prove (CI). For this, we compute

$$1 + (1 + (1 + x) \cdot y) \cdot (1 + x \cdot (1 + y)) \\ = \neg(\neg(\neg x \cdot y) \cdot \neg(x \cdot \neg y)) = \neg(x \oplus \neg y) \oplus \neg(\neg x \oplus y) = x + y \quad \square$$

In what follows we show that this relationship is in fact a one-to-one correspondence if \mathcal{R} satisfies the correspondence identity.

Theorem 4 (a) *Let $\mathcal{A} = (A; \oplus, \neg, 0)$ be a basic algebra and $\mathcal{R}(A)$ the induced basic pseudoring and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{R}(A))$ the induced basic algebra. Then $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{R}(A)) = \mathcal{A}$.*

(b) *Let $\mathcal{R} = (R; +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ be a basic pseudoring satisfying the correspondence identity (CI), let $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{R})$ be the induced basic algebra and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{R}))$ the induced basic pseudoring. Then $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{R})) = \mathcal{R}$.*

Proof Denote by $\hat{\oplus}$ and $\hat{\neg}$ the binary and the unary operation of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{R}(A))$. Then clearly,

$$\hat{\neg}x = 1 + x = \neg(1 \oplus \neg x) \oplus \neg(\neg 1 \oplus x) = 0 \oplus \neg x = \neg x$$

and

$$x \hat{\oplus} y = 1 + (1 + x) \cdot (1 + y) = \neg(\neg x \cdot \neg y) = \neg(\neg(x \oplus y)) = x \oplus y$$

thus $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{R}(A)) = \mathcal{A}$.

Denote by $\hat{+}$ and $\hat{\cdot}$ the binary operations of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{R}))$. Then, due to (CI) we compute

$$x \hat{+} y = \neg(x \oplus \neg y) \oplus \neg(\neg x \oplus y) = (1 + x) \cdot y \oplus x \cdot (1 + y) \\ = 1 + (1 + (1 + x) \cdot y) \cdot (1 + x \cdot (1 + y)) = x + y$$

and

$$x \hat{\cdot} y = \neg(\neg x \oplus \neg y) = 1 + ((1 + x) \oplus (1 + y)) \\ = 1 + (1 + (1 + (1 + x)) \cdot (1 + (1 + y))) = 1 + (1 + x \cdot y) = x \cdot y$$

thus also $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{R})) = \mathcal{R}$. \square

Several interesting properties of basic pseudorings are described by the following theorem and its corollary.

Theorem 5 *Let $\mathcal{R} = (R; +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ be a basic pseudoring and $a, b \in R$. Then*

$$a + b = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad a = b.$$

Proof Let $\mathcal{R} = (R; +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ be a basic pseudoring and $\mathcal{A}(R) = (R; \oplus, \neg, 0)$ the induced basic algebra. In $\mathcal{A}(R)$ we have $c \leq d$ if and only if $\neg c \oplus d = 1$. Since $x \leq x$ and $\neg x \leq \neg x$, we get $\neg x \oplus x = 1$ and $x \oplus \neg x = \neg\neg x \oplus \neg x = 1$ whence

$$x + x = \neg(x \oplus \neg x) \oplus \neg(\neg x \oplus x) = \neg 1 \oplus \neg 1 = 0 \oplus 0 = 0.$$

Assume now that $c, d \in R$ and $c \oplus d = 0$. Since $d \leq c \oplus d = 0$, we conclude $d = 0$ and hence $c = c \oplus 0 = c \oplus d = 0$, i.e.

$$c \oplus d = 0 \Rightarrow c = d = 0. \quad (**)$$

Suppose $a, b \in R$ and $a + b = 0$. Then

$$\neg(a \oplus \neg b) \oplus \neg(\neg a \oplus b) = 0$$

and, by (**), $\neg(a \oplus \neg b) = 0 = \neg(\neg a \oplus b)$, i.e. $a \oplus \neg b = 1$ and $\neg a \oplus b = 1$ thus $\neg a \leq \neg b$ and $a \leq b$. However, the first inequality yields $b \leq a$ thus $a = b$. \square

Corollary 1 (a) *Every basic pseudoring satisfies the identity $x + x = 0$.*

(b) *If a pseudoring \mathcal{R} satisfies the identity $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ then $\mathcal{A}(R)$ is a commutative basic algebra.*

(c) *If a basic algebra \mathcal{A} is commutative then $\mathcal{R}(A)$ satisfies the identities $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ and $x + y = y + x$.*

In what follows, we are going to show that not only every basic algebra induces a basic pseudoring and vice versa as shown by Theorems 2 and 3 but also Theorem 1 can be inverted, i.e. every orthomodular lattice induces a basic pseudoring satisfying the conditions (a), (b) but also every such basic pseudoring induces an orthomodular lattice.

Now, we are ready to prove the following

Theorem 6 *Let $\mathcal{R} = (R; +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ be a basic pseudoring satisfying the identities (a) and (b) of Theorem 1. Define a binary relation \leq on R as follows*

$$x \leq y \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x \cdot (1 + y) = 0.$$

Then \leq is an order on R and $(R; \leq)$ is an orthomodular lattice where

$$x \vee y = 1 + (1 + x \cdot (1 + y)) \cdot (1 + y) \quad \text{and} \quad x' = 1 + x.$$

Proof Let $\mathcal{R} = (R; +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ be a basic pseudoring satisfying (a) and (b). Consider the induced basic algebra $\mathcal{A}(R) = (R; \oplus, \neg, 0)$. Then clearly

$$x \cdot (1 + y) = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \neg x \oplus y = 1 \quad \text{iff} \quad x \leq y$$

thus \leq is an order on R and $(R; \leq)$ is the lattice induced by the basic algebra $\mathcal{A}(R)$ where $x \vee y = \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y = 1 + (1 + x \cdot (1 + y)) \cdot (1 + y)$ and $\neg x = 1 + x$ (as already shown by Theorem 2). Hence, for $x \wedge y = (x' \vee y')'$ we have that $(R; \vee, \wedge, ', 0, 1)$ is a bounded lattice with an antitone involution (i.e. $x'' = x$ and $x \leq y \Rightarrow y' \leq x'$).

Further, by (a) we have $x = x \cdot x = \neg(\neg x \oplus \neg x)$, i.e. $\neg x = \neg x \oplus \neg x$ and, due to the double negation law in $\mathcal{A}(R)$, also $x \oplus x = x$ for each $x \in R$. Thus $\neg x \vee x = \neg(x \oplus x) \oplus x = \neg x \oplus x = 1$ and, due to De Morgan law, also $x \wedge \neg x = \neg(\neg x \vee x) = \neg 1 = 0$ thus $x' = \neg x$ is a complement of x , i.e. $(R; \vee, \wedge, ', 0, 1)$ is an ortholattice.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + (1 + ((1 + (1 + y) \cdot (1 + x)) \cdot (1 + x)) \cdot (1 + x)) \cdot (1 + x) \\ &= 1 + (1 + (y \wedge x') \cdot (1 + x)) \cdot (1 + x) = (y \wedge x') \vee x, \end{aligned}$$

thus $x \leq y \Rightarrow x \cdot (1 + y) = 0$ and, by (b) and the previous computation, $x \vee (x' \wedge y) = y$, which is the orthomodular law. Hence, $(R; \vee, \wedge, ', 0, 1)$ is an orthomodular lattice. \square

References

- [1] Beran, L.: Orthomodular Lattices. *Reidel Publ., Dordrecht*, 1985.
- [2] Birkhoff, G.: Lattice Theory. *Publ. AMS, Providence*, 1967.
- [3] Chajda, I., Halaš, R., Kühr, J.: Semilattice Structures. *Heldermann Verlag, Lemgo*, 2007.
- [4] Chajda, I., Kolařík, M.: Independence of axiom system of basic algebras. *Soft Computing* **13**, 1 (2009), 41–43.
- [5] Chajda, I., Länger, H.: Ring-like structures corresponding to MV-algebras via symmetrical difference. *Sitzungsberichte ÖAW, Math.–Naturw. Kl. Abt. II* **213** (2004), 33–41.
- [6] Dorfer, G., Dvurečenskij, A., Länger H.: Symmetric difference in orthomodular lattices. *Math. Slovaca* **46** (1996), 435–444.
- [7] Dorninger, D., Länger, H., Maczyński, M.: The logic induced by a system of homomorphisms and its various algebraic characterizations. *Demonstratio Math.* **30** (1997), 215–232.
- [8] Dorninger, D., Länger, H., Maczyński, M.: On ring-like structures occurring in axiomatic quantum mechanics. *Sitzungsberichte ÖAW, Math.–Naturw. Kl. Abt. II* **206** (1997), 279–289.
- [9] Dorninger, D., Länger, H., Maczyński, M.: Lattice properties of ring-like quantum logics. *Intern. J. of Theor. Physics* **39** (2000), 1015–1026.
- [10] Shang, Y.: Ring-like structures corresponding to pseudo MV-algebras. *Soft Computing* **13**, 1 (2009), 71–76.